

To Whom It May Concern:

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Further, this is an example of blatant campaigning on a media outlet by an outside source, which contravenes the laws and regulations of US elections. By allowing this documentary to air (even avoiding concerns of what constitutes "documentary" when claims are sure to be perversely skewed), the Group are opening themselves up to damages.

Please don't allow the FCC to become an accessory after the fact to breaking these important laws.

Thank you.